

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,799	05/11/2001	Bodin Dresevic	03797.00132	5479
28319	7590 11/17/	5	EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
1001 G STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1100		2625		
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/852,799 🗸	DRESEVIC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sath V. Perungavoor	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	July 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	_ 				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 14-21 and 26 is/are pending in the	application.	•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-21 and 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	·			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		he Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Appli	cation No			
Copies of the certified copies of the present the present the present the copies.	•	eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not reco	eived.			
•		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

[1] A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 27, 2005 has been entered.

Response to Arguments/Amendments

[2] Presented arguments have been fully considered, but are rendered moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Duty of Disclosure

- [3] The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 37 CFR 1.56:
 - (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
 - (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
 - (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

Art Unit: 2625

- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.
- A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.
- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.
- Examiner respectfully requests the applicant(s) to disclose any patents and/or applications
 that may be material to a double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- [4] Claims 14-21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the NPL document titled "JOT A Specification for an Ink Storage and Interchange Format", submitted by the applicants on July 15, 2004 ("JOT").

Regarding claim 14, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

A computer-readable medium having a data structure thereon, said data structure being read and processed by a computer, said data structure relating to storing handwritten ink information, said data structure comprising [Page 2, Paragraph 3]: a first portion having a tag representing an identification of a type of tag data [Page 25] Paragraph 2: INK_RECORD_HEADER8]; a second portion identifying a size of said tag data, said size portion permitting said computer to skip over tag data [Page 25, Paragraph 4 and Page 16, Paragraphs 1 and 2: inkRecordBundleSize]; a third portion having said tag data [Page 27, Paragraph 1: tag_INK_PENDATA_RECORD]; a fourth portion having a table, said table including global unique identifiers [Page 41, Paragraph 2: tag_INK_APP_RECORD].

Regarding claim 15, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

The computer readable medium according to claim 14, wherein tags for said global unique identifiers are determined by the position of said global unique identifiers in said table [Page 41, Paragraph 2: appSignature, individual signatures are determined by index value (i.e. position) [0...8] in the table.].

Regarding claim 16, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

The computer readable medium according to claim 14, wherein tags for said global unique identifiers are specified [Page 41, Paragraph 2: appSignature].

Regarding claim 17, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

A computer readable medium having a data structure thereon, said data structure being read and processed by a computer, said data structure relating to storing handwritten ink information [Page 2, Paragraph 3], said data structure comprising: a

first portion having a tag representing an identification of a type of tag data [Page 25] Paragraph 2: INK_RECORD_HEADER8]; second portion identifying a size of said tag data, said size permitting said computer to skip over said tag data [Page 25, Paragraph 4 and Page 16, Paragraphs 1 and 2: inkRecordBundleSize]; a third portion having the said tag data [Page 27, Paragraph 1: tag_INK_PENDATA_RECORD]; a fourth portion describing an ink space rectangle [Page 27, Paragraph 1: RECT32].

Regarding claim 18, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

A computer readable medium having a data structure thereon, said data structure being read and processed by a computer, said data structure relating to storing handwritten ink information [Page 2, Paragraph 3], said data structure comprising: a first portion having a tag representing an identification of a type of tag data [Page 25] Paragraph 2: INK_RECORD_HEADER8]; second portion identifying a size of said tag data, said size permitting said computer to skip over said tag data [Page 25, Paragraph 4 and Page 16, Paragraphs 1 and 2: inkRecordBundleSize]; a third portion having the said tag data [Page 27, Paragraph 1: tag_INK_PENDATA_RECORD]; a fourth portion having a table, said table describing metrics of properties [Page 40, Paragraph 2; Page 39, Paragraph 2: tag_INK_UNITS_PER_FORCE_RECORD, tag_INK_UNITS_PER_Z_RECORD, both define a 1 dimensional array (i.e. table) and can be combined to form a 2 dimensional array (i.e. table).].

Regarding claim 19, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

Art Unit: 2625

The computer readable medium according to claim 18, further comprising: a fifth portion comprising a second table, said second table describing properties of strokes in which said properties are related to the metrics of properties in said fourth portion [Page 46 Paragraph 2: force].

Regarding claim 20, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

A computer readable medium having a data structure thereon, said data structure being read and processed by a computer, said data structure relating to storing handwritten ink information [Page 2, Paragraph 3], said data structure comprising: a first portion having a tag representing an identification of a type of tag data [Page 25 Paragraph 2: INK_RECORD_HEADER8]; second portion identifying a size of said tag data, said size permitting said computer to skip over said tag data [Page 25, Paragraph 4 and Page 16, Paragraphs 1 and 2: inkRecordBundleSize]; a third portion having the said tag data [Page 27, Paragraph 1: tag_INK_PENDATA_RECORD]; a fourth portion having a table, said table describing a transform of at least one ink stroke/Page 28, Paragraph 4: tag_INK_SCALE_RECORD].

Regarding claim 21, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

A computer readable medium having a data structure thereon, said data structure being read and processed by a computer, said data structure relating to storing handwritten ink information [Page 2, Paragraph 3], said data structure comprising: a first portion having a tag [Page 25 Paragraph 2: INK_RECORD_HEADER8]; a second portion identifying a size of said tag data, said size permitting said computer

Art Unit: 2625

to skip over said tag data [Page 25, Paragraph 4 and Page 16, Paragraphs 1 and 2: inkRecordBundleSize]; a third portion having the said tag data [Page 27, Paragraph 1: tag_INK_PENDATA_RECORD]; a fourth portion having a table, said table describing a drawing attribute of at least one ink stroke [Page 31, Paragraph 4: tag_INK_COLOR_RECORD].

Regarding claim 26, JOT meets all the claim limitations, as follows:

A computer readable medium having a data structure thereon, said data structure being read and processed by a computer, said data structure relating to storing handwritten ink information [Page 2, Paragraph 3], said data structure comprising: a first portion having a tag [Page 25 Paragraph 2: INK_RECORD_HEADER8]; a second portion identifying a size of said tag data, said second portion located after said first portion, said size permitting said computer to skip over said tag data [Page 25, Paragraph 4 and Page 16, Paragraphs 1 and 2: inkRecordBundleSize]; a third portion having the said tag data, said third portion located after said second portion [Page 27, Paragraph 1: tag_INK_PENDATA_RECORD]; a fourth portion having a table, said table describing a drawing attribute of at least one ink stroke [Page 31, Paragraph 4: tag_INK_COLOR_RECORD].

Appendix

[5] Marked-up version of the JOT reference is attached in order to aid the applicants.

Art Unit: 2625

Contact Information

[6] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mr. Sath V. Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571) 272-7455. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mr. Bhavesh M. Mehta whose telephone number is (571) 272-7453, can be reached on Monday to

Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dated: November 11, 2005

Sath V. Perungavoor

Group Art Unit: 2625

Telephone: (571) 272-7455

Page 8

KANJIBHAI PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER